



FDA Regulatory Process for CAUTI Medical Devices: Expectations and Challenges

Shani Haugen, Ph.D. Division of Reproductive, Gastro-Renal, and Urological Devices Office of Device Evaluation Center for Devices and Radiological Health





Outline II

- Foley Catheters
- Public Health Problem and Strategies to Combat CAUTI
- FDA's Antimicrobial Foley Catheter Guidance Document
- Clinical Trial Challenges
- Conclusions and Resources



Urological Catheter



Foley Catheter





Urological Catheter



Foley Catheter

Balloon filled with sterile fluid to retain the device in the bladder

The tip has an eyelet to allow urine to drain

The other end is connected to a urinary drainage bag (not shown)

The catheter has at least two lumens; one for urine drainage, and the second for instilling the balloon with fluid

http://www.salisbury.nhs.uk/INFORMATIONFORPATIENTS/DEPARTMENTS/UROLOGY/UROLOGYPROBEMS/Pages/Catheters.aspx



Public Health Problem

Table 4. Estimated Numbers of Major Types of Health Care-Associated Infection in the United States in 2011.

Type of Infection	Infections Identified in Survey	Surveyed Patients with Type of Infection	Estimated Infections in the United States*
	no.	% (95% CI)	no. (95% CI)
All health care-associated infections			
Pneumonia	110	24.3 (20.6–28.5)	157,500 (50,800–281,400)
Surgical-site infection	110†	24.3 (20.6–28.5)	157,500 (50,800–281,400)
Gastrointestinal infection	86	19.0 (15.6–22.8)	123,100 (38,400–225,100)
Urinary tract infection	65	14.4 (11.4–17.9)	93,300 (28,100–176,700)
Primary bloodstream infection	50	11.1 (8.4–14.2)	71,900 (20,700–140,200)
Eye, ear, nose, throat, or mouth infection	28‡	6.2 (4.2-8.7)	40,200 (10,400-85,900)
Lower respiratory tract infection	20	4.4 (2.8–6.6)	28,500 (6900–65,200)
Skin and soft-tissue infection	16	3.5 (2.1-5.6)	22,700 (5200–55,300)
Cardiovascular system infection	6	1.3 (0.5-2.7)	8,400 (1200–26,700)
Bone and joint infection	5	1.1 (0.4–2.4)	7,100 (1000–23,700)
Central nervous system infection	4	0.9 (0.3-2.1)	5,800 (700–20,700)
Reproductive tract infection	3	0.7 (0.2-1.8)	4,500 (500–17,800)
Systemic infection	1	0.2 (0.01-1.1)	1,300 (0–10,900)
Total			721,800 (214,700–1,411,000)
Infections in non-neonatal intensive care units			
Catheter-associated urinary tract infection	25	5.5 (3.7-7.9)	35,600 (9100-78,000)
Central-catheter-associated primary bloodstream infection	11	2.4 (1.3-4.2)	15,600 (3200-41,500)
Ventilator-associated pneumonia	35	7.7 (5.5–10.5)	49,900 (13,600–103,700)
Surgical-site infections attributed to Surgical Care Improvement Project procedures§	46	10.2 (7.6–13.2)	66,100 (18,700–130,300)
Hospital-onset infections caused by specific pathogens			
Clostridium difficile infection¶	56	12.4 (9.6–15.7)	80,400 (23,700–155,000)
MRSA bacteremia	7	1.5 (0.7–3.0)	9,700 (1700–29,600)

FDA

Excerpt from: Multistate pointprevalence survey of health care-associated infections. Magill SS *et al.*, N Engl J Med. 2014 Mar 27;370(13):1198-208. 5



Public Health Problem

Table 4. Estimated Numbers of Major Types of Health Care-Associated Infection in the United States in 2011.

Type of Infection	Infections Identified in Survey	Surveyed Patients with Type of Infection	Estimated Infections in the United States*
	no.	% (95% CI)	no. (95% CI)
All health care-associated infections			
Pneumonia	110	24.3 (20.6–28.5)	157,500 (50,800–281,400)
Surgical-site infection	110†	24.3 (20.6–28.5)	157,500 (50,800–281,400)
Gastrointestinal infection	86	19.0 (15.6–22.8)	123,100 (38,400–225,100)
Urinary tract infection	65	14.4 (11.4–17.9)	93,300 (28,100–176,700)
Primary bloodstream infection	50	11.1 (8.4–14.2)	71,900 (20,700–140,200)
Eye, ear, nose, throat, or mouth infection	28‡	6.2 (4.2-8.7)	40,200 (10,400-85,900)
Lower respiratory tract infection	20	4.4 (2.8–6.6)	28,500 (6900–65,200)
Skin and soft-tissue infection	16	3.5 (2.1-5.6)	22,700 (5200–55,300)
Cardiovascular system infection	6	1.3 (0.5-2.7)	8,400 (1200–26,700)
Bone and joint infection	5	1.1 (0.4–2.4)	7,100 (1000–23,700)
Central nervous system infection	4	0.9 (0.3-2.1)	5,800 (700–20,700)
Reproductive tract infection	3	0.7 (0.2–1.8)	4,500 (500–17,800)
Systemic infection	1	0.2 (0.01-1.1)	1,300 (0–10,900)
Total			721,800 (214,700–1,411,000)
Infections in non-neonatal intensive care units			
Catheter-associated urinary tract infection	25	5.5 (3.7–7.9)	35,600 (9100–78,000)
Central-catheter-associated primary bloodstream infection	11	2.4 (1.3–4.2)	15,600 (3200–41,500)
Ventilator-associated pneumonia	35	7.7 (5.5–10.5)	49,900 (13,600–103,700)
Surgical-site infections attributed to Surgical Care Improvement Project procedures§	46	10.2 (7.6–13.2)	66,100 (18,700–130,300)
Hospital-onset infections caused by specific pathogens			
Clostridium difficile infection¶	56	12.4 (9.6–15.7)	80,400 (23,700–155,000)
MRSA bacteremia	7	1.5 (0.7–3.0)	9,700 (1700–29,600)

Excerpt from: Multistate pointprevalence survey of health care-associated infections. Magill SS *et al.*, N Engl J Med. 2014 Mar 27;370(13):1198-208. 6

FDA

Microbial Introduction to the Urinary System



- Microbial migration from the exterior of the catheter (extraluminal; ~66%)
- Microbial migration from the inner catheter surfaces (intraluminal; ~33%)



Biofilm and CAUTI

- Biofilm: microbes that are irreversibly attached to a surface, embedded in an extracellular matrix, and have altered gene expression and growth rates.
- Urine flow pre-conditions catheter surfaces for bacterial attachment, eventually leading to biofilm formation.
- Most indwelling urological catheters will have biofilm by Day 7



Liedl B. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Current Opinion in Urology. 2001;11(1):75-79.







Strategies to Address Microbes on Medical Devices



- 1. Prevent Microbial Adherence
- 2. Remove/Inactivate Microbes



Strategies to Address Microbes on Medical Devices



1. Prevent Microbial Adherence

2. Remove/Inactivate Microbes



Strategies to Address Microbes on Medical Devices

FDA

510(k) Premarket Notification

FDA Home Medical Devices to 13 of 13 Results oductCode: M/C Decision Date To:	Results per Page 25 V			
New Search Search Search New Search				
Device Name	ŧ	Applicant 🛔	510(K) Number ♥	Decision Date
Bardex Lubri-Sil And Bardex Lubri-Sil I.		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K070558</u>	12/07/2007
Bardex All-Silicone, Bardex Lubri-Sil, A		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K070582</u>	12/07/2007
Bardex I.c. Latex Foley Catheter		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K040658</u>	09/29/2004
Hydrophilic-Antibacterial Intermittent C		Rochester Medical Corp.	K033477	06/10/2004
Bardex Latex-Free Temperature-Sensing Fo		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K003289</u>	01/05/2001
Bard All-Silicone 3-Way Foley Catheter;		C.r. Bard, Inc.	K002868	12/08/2000
Spectrum Silicone Foley Catheter		Cook Urological, Inc.	<u>K000251</u>	08/30/2000
Release Nf Antibacterial Foley Catheter,		Rochester Medical Corp.	K001143	07/07/2000
Bardex I.c. Pediatric Foley Catheter		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K993464</u>	12/10/1999
Bardex Lubri-Sil I.c. Foley Catheter		Bard Medical Div.	<u>K984136</u>	02/16/1999
Bardex I.c 4-Way Foley Catheter Model 18		C.r. Bard, Inc.	<u>K983101</u>	11/03/1998
Silicone Antibacterial Foley Catheter		Rochester Medical Corp.	<u>K971627</u>	01/13/1998
Bard(R) Hydrogel/Silver-Coated Foley Cat		C.r. Bard, Inc.	K910318	08/18/1994

https://www.accessdata.fda.go v/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/p mn.cfm 11





Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters

f SHARE	Y TWEET	in LINKEDIN	1 PIN IT	M EMAIL	
---------	---------	-------------	----------	---------	--





Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters

Recommendations on:

- 1. Labeling
- 2. Biocompatibility testing
- 3. Performance testing (e.g., flow rate testing, balloon inflation, etc.)
- 4. Sterility information
- 5. Performance testing for antimicrobial features (if applicable)





Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters

"Functional and performance data for the antimicrobial component used as a coating or integrated into the device material, should demonstrate that the antimicrobial is effective in reducing the incidence of relevant nosocomial infections and that it is safe."





Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters

in vitro test data characterizing the spectrum and degree of activity of the antimicrobial against all clinically important microorganisms (note: microorganisms should be clinical isolates, i.e., specimens derived from actual patient cultures). These microorganisms include: Candida sp., Citrobacter diversus, Enterobacter cloacae, Enterococcus, Escherichia coli, Klebsiellae pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, and Streptococcus fecalis. The test sample should include the finished form of the device (e.g., segments of the finished catheter). Note that if additional microorganisms are tested, justification, with supportive literature, should be provided for why they were tested;





Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters

results from a randomized, controlled clinical study to (a) demonstrate a clinically and statistically significant decrease in the rate of infection and at least comparable safety as compared to a legally marketed conventional Foley catheter, and/or clinically and statistically similar safety and effectiveness compared to an antimicrobial coated Foley catheter; (b) quantitate the degree of change of the infection rate per duration of use of the catheter; and (c) include data to support any additional claims, including reprocessing.

Clinical information should also include: patient history of urinary tract infections (UTI) and all medications taken, urine cultures from patients and correlation of cultures taken from the urine sampled from collection bags, as well as the Foley tip for each patient in the control and experimental groups. Definitions and criteria for bacteriuria and UTI, as well as the urinary catheter care measures should be specified in the clinical protocol and be uniform across investigational sites.





CAUTI Technology Goals

- Reduce the incidence of CAUTI or treat CAUTI
- Non-toxic/low-risk for all populations susceptible to CAUTI
- Prolonged effect for the duration of the indwelling time (without degradation

from environmental conditions)

• Effective against multiple uropathogens (and not selective for other pathogens)





Excerpt from IDSA Guidelines*

- CAUTI Diagnosis
 - 1. the presence of symptoms or signs compatible with UTI (e.g., fever, pain, malaise) with no other identified source of infection AND
 - 2. ≥10³ colony-forming units (cfu)/mL in urine

* Please refer to the guidelines for a complete description of CAUTI diagnosis and catheter-associated bacteriuria Hooton TM *et al.*, Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infectious Diseases, Volume 50, Issue 5, 1 March 2010, Pages 625–663 <u>18</u> <u>https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/50/5/625/324341</u>





Excerpt from IDSA Guidelines

- Catheter-associated asymptomatic bacteriuria (CA-ASB)
 - Presence of $\geq 10^5$ cfu/mL in urine and no symptoms compatible with UTI
 - CA-ASB should not be screened for except in research studies evaluating interventions designed to reduce the incidence of CA-ASB or CA-UTI and in selected clinical situations, such as in pregnant women

Hooton TM *et al.*, Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infectious Diseases, Volume 50, Issue 5, 1 March 2010, Pages 625–663 <u>https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/50/5/625/324341</u>
19





Excerpt from IDSA Guidelines

- Asymptomatic Bacteriuria vs CAUTI
 - "...the vast majority of patients with CA-ASB do not progress to CA-UTI. Thus, the development of urinary symptoms must require some facilitating event(s) that is yet to be determined."
 - "The majority of intervention trials that have been shown to reduce CA-ASB or CA-bacteriuria have not demonstrated effectiveness to reduce CA-UTI, but few trials have been designed and powered to evaluate such outcomes."

Hooton TM *et al.*, Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infectious Diseases, Volume 50, Issue 5, 1 March 2010, Pages 625–663 <u>https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/50/5/625/324341</u>
20





• Estimated tens of thousands of patients in acute care hospitals in the

US with CAUTI annually

• CAUTI rate is low (up to ~ 5%) among catheterized patients

Large clinical trial needed to demonstrate a reduction in CAUTI





Development of surrogate(s) for CAUTI

- Scientifically demonstrated to correlate or predict CAUTI
- Reproducible, specific, and sensitive
- Feasible assay for a clinical study



Conclusions



- Healthcare-associated infections are an important public health concern.
- Biofilm ≠ CAUTI
- CAUTI Technology should provide a clinically meaningful benefit for patients
- Clinical trials for CAUTI medical devices are challenged by:
 - Large sample sizes needed to demonstrate a reduction of CAUTI
 - Lack of markers or surrogates for CAUTI
- FDA is available to discuss the type of data used to demonstrate the effectiveness of antimicrobial strategies.



FDA Resources



- FDA Guidance Document: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Notifications for Conventional and Antimicrobial Foley Catheters <u>https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm080884.htm</u>
- FDA Guidance Document: *Requests for Feedback on Medical Device Submissions: The Pre-Submission Program and Meetings with Food and Drug Administration Staff*

https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/ guidancedocuments/ucm311176.pdf





Thank you!



